

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,097	02/13/2002	Nnochiri N. Ekwuribe	9233-46 7253	
20792	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			KOSAR, ANDREW D	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/075,097	EKWURIBE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 114-169 and 208-213 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 114-169 and 208-213 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 June 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 114-169 and 208-213 are pending and have been examined on the merits.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments to the specification and claims are acknowledged.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Rejections of claims 142-169 and 208-213 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, are herein withdrawn, in view of Applicant's amendments to the claims.

Applicant's arguments filed January 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 114-122, 126, 127, 129-150, 154, 155, 157-169, and 208-213 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as anticipated by Allaudeen, et al. Claims 114-122, 126, 127, 129-150, 154, 155, 157-169, and 208-213 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Radha Krishnan, et al. Claims 114-127, 129-169, and 208-213 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Allaudeen or Radha Krishnan. Claims 114-169 and 208-213 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Allaudeen or Radha Krishnan in view of Vajo.

Applicant assert that the *prima facie* case of obviousness by the Examiner is deficient in that the Examiner has "misunderstood" Applicant's use of monodispersed "as used in the present invention." Applicant further asserts that "A coded reference to a drug without a description of the structure of that drug does not enable one of skill in the art to make the drug."

With regards to the first argument, 'monodispersed' can related to size, shape, or as the Examiner concluded, purity. This is not repugnant to the art, and Applicant has not provided a Art Unit: 1654

definition in the specification to support Applicant's assertion. Thus, the argument is not persuasive.

With regards to the second argument, Applicant asserts that "In the pharmaceutical industry, companies often seek to balance the need for peer reviewed scientific publications with the need to prohibit disclosures that would result in a loss of patent rights" by publication of 'coded references'. Applicant asserts that the prior art discloses only a 'coded reference' and one of skill in the art would not be put in possession of the instant invention. Applicant is directed to Radhakrisnan (#14, PTO-1449 1/23/04) which clearly identifies HIM2 structurally and by 'code name', e.g.- Figure 1. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments fail to dispute that the compound disclosed in the prior art is, in fact, the instantly claimed compound as set forth by the Examiner, but merely assert that the coded reference does not lead to the compound. Because the compound and the 'coded reference' were indeed known together in the art, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

For these, and the reasons of record, the rejections of claims 114-122, 126, 127, 129-150, 154, 155, 157-169, and 208-213 under 35 USC 102(a) as anticipated by Allaudeen, et al., claims 114-122, 126, 127, 129-150, 154, 155, 157-169, and 208-213 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Radha Krishnan, et al., claims 114-127, 129-169, and 208-213 under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Allaudeen or Radha Krishnan, and claims 114-169 and 208-213 under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Allaudeen or Radha Krishnan in view of Vajo are maintained.

NO CLAIMS ARE ALLOWED.

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew D. Kosar whose telephone number is (571)272-0913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571)272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Andrew D. Kosar, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654